CC 95-72

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

27 May 1995

Mr. William Caton, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, DC 20554

Hansatech International

• Artificial Intelligence Applications for Business Management

Dear Mr. Caton:

I have recently learned of the FCC's proposed order requiring multiple subscriber line charges for ISDN services. I am writing to urge the commission to reconsider this new position, and to restore single subscriber line charges for ISDN.

Multiple subscriber line charges would impose a punitive cost burden on many small business, such as ours, that have adopted ISDN for the explicit purpose of computerto-computer communications. If all we needed were lots of lines for lots of people, we would simply get multiple analog lines - they are, after all, still cheaper. We are, on the other hand, one of a significant and growing number of small corporations here on the Pacific rim that fall into the new category of "virtual corporations" - we operate from a network of small locations tied together electronically rather than from a traditional main office. One of my very good friends, in fact, runs his corporation, including international offices, from a small office that is only slightly larger than the spare bedroom in his home from which he was operating two years ago!

Again, I urge the commission to reconsider this issue, and not punish creative new enterprises with burdensome costs based upon outmoded business concepts. And I thank you for taking the time to read my letter.

Sincerely,

J. R. Bertram President

General Offices: Post Office Box 554, Redwood City, CA 94064-0554

de 95-12

518-399-1806

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Harlan S. Barney, Jr., P. E.

FCC MAIL ROOM

2 MARJON AVENUE SCOTIA, NEW YORK 12302

30 May 1995

Secretary William Caton Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Emergency Petition for Waiver of Section 69.104 of the Commission's Rules in Connection with ISDN Services

Dear Secretary Caton:

I understand that the Commission has issued an order requiring multiple subscriber line charges (SLC) for ISDN service.

I am contacting you to urge the Commission to grant Bell Atlantic's petition for a waiver of Section 69.104 of the Commission's rules, thereby restoring the single SLC for ISDN service.

The Commission's order doubles the SLC for ISDN Basic Rate Interface service and increases by more than twenty-fold the SLC for ISDN Primary Rate Interface service.

I have thirty years experience with computers and data communications. I have been following ISDN since it was first announced and just when it appeared to be ready to actually become a reality in this country your ruling could very well kill it by pricing it out of reach of almost all users. If there is no market, it will not be extended.

More than one year ago I found it interesting in reviewing a listing of Compuserve network nodes that ISDN access was available in Europe, but not in the United States. Compuserve a few months ago announced plans for installation of ISDN access in major cities across the United States in conjunction with a major network access expansion. With your ruling I would not be surprised if these plans are being severely delayed or canceled alltogether.

I have been considering installation of ISDN for my computer to Internet access when it becomes available in my exchange, but if NYNEX is also forced to double its rate, I will not be able to afford it. If I as a small user cannot afford it, larger and wealthier businesses will not buy it either.

Your ruling sounded to me to be a rehash of technology of the 1970's, not the 1990's.

Are we to continue to fall behind the rest of the world in technology?

The application of the SLC to ISDN service raises several important policy questions that are best addressed in a formal rulemaking. I urge you to grant Bell Atlantic's emergency petition for waiver, and conduct a rulemaking that allows consumers to have a say on how the Commission sets rates for high-speed access to the internet

Sincerely,

cc: Senator Alfonse D'Amato

Harlan S. Barney, Jr. PE

Representative Michael McNulty

Havan S. Barney J. PE

Chairman Reed Hundt

Commissioner James Quello

Commissioner Andrew Barrett

Commissioner Susan Ness

Commissioner Rachelle Chong

cc 95-72/



May 26, 1995

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

The Honorable Reed Hundt Chairman Federal Communication Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Reference: The FCC's Ruling in Connection with ISDN Services

FCC No. 94-356 (rel. 1/11/95)

Dear Chairman Hundt,

As the president of a small engineering firm that works closely with the latest technologies in computers and communication I am writing to share a concern. We are users of the most up to date equipment that is practical for our applications and our clients are most often the source of the very latest in software and communications technology. Our company has installed a LAN which supports PC's and workstations. We are connected to the Internet and have attempted to use ISDN for telecommuting so that we might work at home.

ISDN is most important to our enterprise and to the future of our nation. I am most concerned that the Communication Commission will let stand the multiple subscriber line charges. I urge the Federal Communication Commission to take what ever steps necessary to restore the single SLC for ISDN services. It is my hope that you will take every necessary action to insure all Americans will have affordable access to the wide range of services promised by the Information Age.

Sincerely,

Paul Afshar

President



Authorized Sales
Representative for
PACIFIC X BELL®

2 56 PN 35

1290 Oakmead Parkway , Suite 301, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 Telephone (408) 732-7200 FAX (408) 732-7668

May 26, 1995

The Honorable Reed Hundt Chairman Federal Communication Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Chairman Hundt,

I am writing this letter to voice my opposition to enforcing multiple subscriber line charges for ISDN services.

ISDN is a technology we should, as a country embrace, and placing a subscriber line charge per channel would be an unfair burden on this product.

ISDN is a technology that provides US companies a world standard at a reasonable cost, gives us the ability to more efficiently use the existing infrastructure, and gives us the means to implement remote commuting which will reduce air pollution during commute hours.

Please don't impose a subscriber line charge on the individual channels of the ISDN offerings.

Sincerely,

Michael C. Miller

cc William Caton

LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER NEW YORK LICENSE 42025

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

518-399-1806

Harlan S. Barney, Fr., P. E.

2 MARJON AVENUE SCOTIA, NEW YORK 12302

30 May 1995

The Honorable Reed Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: Emergency Petition for Waiver of Section 69.104 of the Commission's Rules in Connection with ISDN Services

Dear Chairman Hundt:

I understand that the Commission has issued an order requiring multiple subscriber line charges (SLC) for ISDN service.

I am contacting you to urge the Commission to grant Bell Atlantic's petition for a waiver of Section 69.104 of the Commission's rules, thereby restoring the single SLC for ISDN service.

The Commission's order doubles the SLC for ISDN Basic Rate Interface service and increases by more than twenty-fold the SLC for ISDN Primary Rate Interface service.

I have thirty years experience with computers and data communications. I have been following ISDN since it was first announced and just when it appeared to be ready to actually become a reality in this country your ruling could very well kill it by pricing it out of reach of almost all users. If there is no market, it will not be extended.

More than one year ago I found it interesting in reviewing a listing of Compuserve network nodes that ISDN access was available in Europe, but not in the United States. Compuserve a few months ago announced plans for installation of ISDN access in major cities across the United States in conjunction with a major network access expansion. With your ruling I would not be surprised if these plans are being severely delayed or canceled alltogether.

I have been considering installation of ISDN for my computer to Internet access when it becomes available in my exchange, but if NYNEX is also forced to double its rate, I will not be able to afford it. If I as a small user cannot afford it, larger and wealthier businesses will not buy it either.

Your ruling sounded to me to be a rehash of technology of the 1970's, not the 1990's.

Are we to continue to fall behind the rest of the world in technology?

page 2

The application of the SLC to ISDN service raises several important policy questions that are best addressed in a formal rulemaking. I urge you to grant Bell Atlantic's emergency petition for waiver, and conduct a rulemaking that allows consumers to have a say on how the Commission sets rates for high-speed access to the internet

Sincerely, John S. Barrey Job E

Harlan S. Barney, Jr., PE

CC:

Senator Alfonse D'Amato Representative Michael McNulty Commissioner James Quello Commissioner Andrew Barrett Commissioner Susan Ness Commissioner Rachelle Chong Secretary William Caton PINnacles TELephone Company

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

340 LIVE OAK ROAD PAICINES, CALIFORNIA 95043 Telephone 408 - 389 - 4500

6 PH '95

Chairman & Commissioners - FCC 1919 MSt Wash DC 20554 =

PinTel is not get into 155%. However, if 4/or when we can afford it - the nucltiple subscriber line charges for 1581 would only delay it further.

I hope you'll go back to Linge see for 1501/ sves.

/ Cashryan